

Medical Teacher

ISSN: 0142-159X (Print) 1466-187X (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/imte20

Applying sport psychology to improve clinical performance

Helen R. Church , James L. Rumbold & John Sandars

To cite this article: Helen R. Church , James L. Rumbold & John Sandars (2017): Applying sport psychology to improve clinical performance, Medical Teacher, DOI: 10.1080/0142159X.2017.1359523

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1359523

Published online: 08 Aug 2017.

MEDICAL TEACHER, 2017
https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2017.1359523

MEDICAL TEACHER

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

AMEE GUIDE

Applying sport psychology to improve clinical performance*

Helen R. Church[a], James L. Rumbold[b] and John Sandars[c]

[a]Academic Unit of Medical Education, The Medical School, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; [b]Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK; [c]Postgraduate Medical Institute, Faculty of Health & Social Care, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, UK

ABSTRACT

Preparedness for practice has become an international theme within Medical Education: for healthcare systems to maintain their highest clinical standards, junior doctors must "hit the ground running" on beginning work. Despite demonstrating logical, structured assessment and management plans during their undergraduate examinations, many newly qualified doctors report difficulty in translating this theoretical knowledge into the real clinical environment. "Preparedness" must constitute more than the knowledge and skills acquired during medical school. Complexities of the clinical environment overwhelm some junior doctors, who acknowledge that they lack strategies to manage their anxieties, under-confidence and low self-efficacy. If uncontrolled, such negative emotions and behaviors may impede the delivery of time-critical treatment for acutely unwell patients and compound junior doctors' self-doubt, thus impacting future patient encounters. Medical Education often seeks inspiration from other industries for potential solutions to challenges. To address "preparedness for practice," this AMEE Guide highlights sport psychology: elite sportspeople train both physically and psychologically for their discipline. The latter promotes management of negative emotions, distractions and under-confidence, thus optimizing performance despite immense pressures of career-defining moments. Similar techniques might allow junior doctors to optimize patient care, especially within stressful situations. This AMEE Guide introduces the novel conceptual model, PERFORM, which targets the challenges faced by junior doctors on graduation. The model applies pre-performance routines from sport psychology with the self-regulatory processes of metacognition to the clinical context. This model could potentially equip junior doctors, and other healthcare professionals facing similar challenges, with strategies to optimize clinical care under the most difficult circumstances.

Introduction

The world of healthcare is complex and invokes cognitive, affective, motivational and physical pressures on individuals. Despite this, healthcare professionals must perform to the highest standard to deliver effective patient care.

Our guide is motivated by experiences of supporting junior doctors in the complex real-life world of healthcare. However, senior doctors and other healthcare professionals endure similar challenges (Suresh et al. 2013; Rudman et al. 2014), and therefore, our model is applicable to any group which may benefit from its implementation.

Sport and healthcare share many similarities: both can be busy, distraction-filled environments where optimal self-efficacy and anxiety management are integral to success (Hazell et al. 2014). Athletes achieve optimal performance despite these pressures using strategies such as pre-performance routines (PPRs) (Cotterill 2010). Utilizing the success of PPRs in sport, this guide outlines their transformation into performance enhancing routines (PERs) to optimize clinical performance.

This AMEE Guide presents the truly collaborative and novel conceptual model developed by medical educators and a sport psychologist. Firstly, challenges faced by junior doctors in the clinical environment and the literature regarding preparedness for practice are outlined. A short review summarizes optimization strategies used in sport

Practice points

- Junior doctors experience high levels of stress in the management of acutely unwell patients but current training does not address how to control the stress-related negative feelings and behaviors that can impair clinical performance.
- Performance during similar stressful situations in sport can be optimized by the use Performance Enhancing Routines, such as maintaining focus and control of anxiety.
- An innovative conceptual model (PERFORM - Performance Enhancing Routines For Optimizing Readiness using Metacognition) adapts the use of Performance Enhancing Routines from sport to improving clinical performance of junior doctors.
- The PERFORM model highlights the importance of metacognitive processes in the individual adaptation of Performance Enhancing Routines to optimize clinical performance.
- Using the PERFORM model, clinical teachers may support junior doctors to self-regulate their response to the pressures of the clinical environment and in turn optimize their clinical performance.

CONTACT Helen Church mda05hrc@sheffield.ac.uk Academic Unit of Medical Education, The Medical School, The University of Sheffield, Beech Hill Road, Sheffield S10 2RX, UK

*This paper forms part of AMEE Guide No. 121, which will be available from www.amee.org

before metacognition, and its current implementation in both disciplines, is described. The PERFORM model is presented, and its applicability demonstrated using clinical examples to conclude the guide.

Challenges within healthcare

Patient safety concerns regarding suboptimal management of acutely unwell patients cite junior doctor's working patterns as a serious contributor (Massey et al. 2009; Quirke et al. 2011): both the European Working Time Directive (EWTD) and the frequency of rotations through different specialities limit doctors' clinical exposure to acutely unwell patients, thereby decreasing experiential learning opportunities (Cullinane et al. 2005). Shift patterns increase the frequency of handovers, allowing more opportunities for tasks to "slip through the net" and be inadvertently overlooked, especially when the urgency of the task is not adequately communicated (NPSA 2007). When out-of-hours shifts commence, decreased staff numbers create a bottleneck of outstanding tasks and despite optimum efficiency the time to attend to patients will increase. All of these factors are compounded by the complexity of patients with multiple comorbidities (Massey et al. 2009), and junior doctors' heavy workloads (Quirke et al. 2011) in an environment often lacking senior clinical support (Smith et al. 2013).

Healthcare as a complex environment

Medicine is complex, encompassing many different areas of health. The patient's history, examination findings and investigation results yield potentially hundreds of pieces of clinical data which must be analyzed to reach a working diagnosis. Medicine's dynamic nature compounds this complexity, with the ever-expanding knowledge base of diseases and their management. Comorbidities cause acute-illness presentation to be muddied by the waters of preexisting pathology, and their increasing prevalence is partly due to an ageing population (Bion and Heffner 2004), hence the time taken to manage a patient's presenting complaint in the emergency department is proportional to their age (George et al. 2006).

Environmental factors cannot be ignored: the increasing patient: doctor ratios in hospital (Cullinane et al. 2005) require medical staff to deliver patient care over more clinical environments, many of which are unfamiliar. Variations in ward layout, equipment storage and nursing staff levels (Cutler 2002) cause additional stress during patient management. Junior doctors require resilience to navigate these complex, error-prone healthcare environments (Kjeldstadli et al. 2006), thus acquiring strategies to control their anxieties and optimize focus may improve patient care.

Factors contributing to suboptimal care of the acutely unwell include patient complexity, clinical environments and education (Quirke et al. 2011). When considering targets for improvement, patient factors are difficult to control and the environment and workforce are large-scale, slow-moving variables. Education is the most realistic target for intervention to empower healthcare staff and improve healthcare provision on the front-line.

An unprepared workforce

Given the complexities of healthcare, it is unsurprising that a significant proportion of medical students feel unprepared to become doctors. This global problem seems independent of organizational variables, with similar reports from the UK (Goldacre et al. 2014), Germany (Ochsmann et al. 2011) and America (Hall et al. 2011).

In hospitals, doctors are interrupted on average every 11 minutes, the highest interruption frequency occurring in clinical areas accommodating the most unwell patients, e.g. intensive care units (Weigl et al. 2011). Distractions cause adverse outcomes (Thomas et al. 2015) including prescribing errors (Li et al. 2012) and impaired procedural skills (Moorthy et al. 2003). Although medical students have been taught distraction handling techniques in simulation with promising results (Thomas et al. 2015; Ford et al. 2017), they have not been applied to junior doctors navigating the complexities of hospital environments.

Occupational uncertainty and under-confidence can cause stress, anger and frustration. In a survey, one-third of doctors acknowledged that stress-related symptoms affected their patient management (Firth-Cozens and Greenhalgh 1997): sixty percent of these produced lower standards of care including serious, and in two cases fatal, mistakes. There are significant consequences when stressors are not effectively managed.

Self-efficacy is a key target to decrease environmental tensions as when optimized, it increases motivation and job satisfaction (Sadri and Robertson 1993), thus lowering workplace stress (Kushnir et al. 2000). Self-efficacy is defined as "people's beliefs about their capabilities to produce designated levels of performance that exercise influence over events that affect their lives," determining how people "feel, think, motivate themselves and behave" (Bandura 1994, p. 71). High self-efficacy increases the likelihood for success as tasks are perceived as achievable challenges, whereas lower efficacy beliefs cause decreased efforts during difficultly, further eroding one's capability beliefs. Self-efficacy is vulnerable when commencing learning processes (Kaufman 2003) and must be optimized at the beginning of junior doctors' careers to enhance performance and decrease psychological tensions.

The literature lacks evidence of training initiatives targeting awareness and resolution of environmental stressors when managing acutely unwell patients (Church et al. 2016). The closest example of this is the use of "diagnostic pauses" in general practice (Atkinson et al. 2011), which the doctor initiates at common, scheduled moments, e.g. during hand-washing, to evaluate consultation progression. This strategy invokes metacognition to review, evaluate and implement change to reach the desired consultation outcome.

Medical students demonstrate the skills and knowledge to treat acutely unwell patients, but on graduation report feeling unable to apply these in the real-life clinical context (Tallentire et al. 2011). They lack strategies to manage the complexities of the clinical environment (Ford et al. 2017), often feeling paralyzed by stress when managing acutely ill patients (Tallentire et al. 2011). Such overwhelming emotion will likely reduce focus, impair clinical performance and increase errors.

A PPR for a tennis player prior to their serve might include the following sequence of preparatory thoughts and actions:



Figure 1. An example of a PPR for a tennis player immediately before their serve (adapted from Moran 2000).

Features of competitive sport performance similar to medicine

Approaches from other industries have often been explored to address medical educational challenges, e.g. aviation (Toff 2010). However, this comparison has been scrutinized (Randell 2003), citing differences in the complexities and fluidity of the two industries (Buck 2016). Sport is a possible area from which fresh ideas could be generated due to the shared need for performance optimization in complex, unpredictable environments. Sport involves rapid fluidity in information load from one moment to the next (Gallucci 2014), and multiple distractions through opponents' behaviors, audiences and coaches shouting from the sidelines. Compare this with the medical model of rapid patient assessment while answering pagers and being interrupted with requests to complete unrelated tasks.

Insights from sports psychology: Pre-performance routines

Sport performers contend with multiple distractions while executing complex motor skills. A common approach to enhance skill preparation is the use of PPRs. These are defined as "a sequence of task-relevant thoughts and actions which an athlete engages in systematically prior to his or her performance of a specific sports skill" (Moran 1996, p. 177). Although PPRs aim to optimize competitive performance, they are typically developed during training sessions.

The step-by-step PPR in Figure 1 highlights their bespoke nature. Specific thoughts and actions may be required for different individuals completing specific tasks (Cotterill 2015). A variety of PPRs are evident in the sport psychology literature which facilitate desirable task behaviors and, in turn, performance.

Functions of pre-performance routines

Attentional focus and reducing distraction

Despite multiple distractions, athletes must concentrate on the "here and now." PPRs, such as self-talk and visualization, can prevent focus on task-irrelevant concerns (Crews and Boutcher 1986) and also direct attention away from a series of automated movements (Moran 1996) which unravels if "over-thought" (Beilock et al. 2002). A routine's duration is often proportional to task difficulty (Jackson and Baker 2001), e.g. simply taking a deep breath might regain focus quickly during competition (Cotterill 2015).

Regulating arousal and emotional states

Sport performers who have developed a range of PPRs are less likely to rush the execution of a task under pressure. This "escapist" behavior results from undesirable physiological and psychological symptoms prior to skill execution and lowers success rates (Jordet 2009). A PPR applied here can redirect attention away from uncomfortable symptoms to the task at hand (Marlow et al. 1998).

Self-efficacy beliefs and perceptions of control

Prior to task execution, self-efficacy influences one's interpretation of their physiological and affective state in both sport and medicine (Hanton et al. 2004; Cleary et al. 2015). Having a range of PPRs from which to select increases one's sense of control, minimizing anxiety in pressured situations (Boutcher 1992).

Effectiveness of pre-performance routines

PPRs are utilized in a wide range of discrete motor skills in sport, including golf swing or putt; a basketball free throw; and penalty shots. PPRs are predominantly used in self-paced skills which have a defined beginning and end (Cotterill 2010), but are also applicable to more complex, dynamic tasks, such as skiing, skating and dancing (for a review, see Cotterill 2010).

Successful translation of routines from discrete to complex tasks relies on the athlete's ability to self-regulate their use: learning to assess the situation, choose the most appropriate PPR, implement and evaluate its success aligns with metacognition, which has already been highlighted in recent literature regarding performance optimization in sport (MacIntyre et al. 2014).

Metacognition

Metacognition, or "thinking about thinking," is a psychological concept explaining how individuals monitor and

regulate their cognitive efforts (Flavell 1979) and contains the facets of Metacognitive Knowledge, Experiences and Skills, which were originally described by Efklides (2008):

1. Metacognitive knowledge is an ever-evolving memory bank which influences the course of a cognitive task. Flavell (1979) originally described three components: person, task and strategy. *Person* encompasses beliefs about one's own or others' cognitive ability. *Task* includes analysis of available information and the perceived level of difficultly, thus inferring the likelihood of successful completion (i.e. "self-efficacy"). Metacognitive *strategies* are methods through which the challenge is approached.

2. Metacognitive experiences are those a person is aware of during a task (Efklides 2006). They include *metacognitive feelings*, the emotional responses surrounding a task, which can be positive, e.g. subject familiarization, or negative, e.g. task difficultly. *Metacognitive judgments* analyze task progression, time required for completion and likelihood of success. Metacognitive experiences are influenced by, and refine metacognitive knowledge, adding, deleting or revising its contents (Flavell 1979).

3. **Metacognitive skills** control and regulate cognitive strategies to achieve desired performance (Efklides 2008). These "executive functions" described by Brown (1987) in Efklides (2008) include:

1. Planning: appropriate strategy selection and allocation of resources for task performance.
2. Monitoring of the task requirements.
3. Evaluation of the completed task and efficiency with which it was performed, including appraising strategies that were used.

During a task, metacognition both monitors and controls. Metacognitive knowledge and experiences monitor how a task is being performed, whereas metacognitive skills implement control (Efklides 2006).

The use of metacognition in sports

Metacognitive processes have been linked to effective cognitive control in elite endurance (Brick et al. 2015) and middle-distance (Nietfeld 2003) runners. Metacognitive skills allow application of strategies to focus, maintain motivation and monitor physiological processes to inform tactics during competition.

Applying metacognition to pre-performance routines

Effective PPR use depends on the athlete's ability to self-regulate their skills with varying task demands (Singer 1988; Moran 1996). Despite its key role in self-regulation, metacognition's contribution to PPR regulation has been largely overlooked. Research examining athletes' metacognitive processes and self-regulation in unison is in its preliminary stages (MacIntyre et al. 2014; Brick et al. 2015), and their separate examination in sport has a number of limitations regarding performance enhancement to which Medical Education can contribute.

Firstly, there are theoretical inadequacies in explaining *how* performers regulate their thoughts and behaviors during performance. Some PPR development models apply aspects of self-regulation theory insofar as evaluating and adjusting one's skills after execution (Singer 1988). However, a more comprehensive model underpinned by self-regulation *and* metacognition would provide stronger theoretical justification and a clearer guide for implementation. This has strong potential to inform clinical performance optimization and, due to its generalizability, other contexts such as postgraduate examinations or extra-curricular activities.

Future research exploring metacognition in PPR development needs to develop a model explaining how individuals regulate their use of routines. Tasks should be conceptualized as dynamic, ever-changing processes upon which the metacognitive monitoring cycle is superimposed to inform PPR implementation.

The application of metacognition in medicine

Metacognitive strategies have been highlighted across many clinical and educational areas. "Diagnostic pauses" (Atkinson et al. 2011) are similar to PPRs in the context of closed, self-paced skills where athletes invoke their routine at a prescribed moment. What is absent from the Medical Education literature is a fluid model, applicable to more complex circumstances akin to the open skills of team-based sports and acute clinical scenarios. For clinicians, this would involve an over-arching model of awareness throughout a patient encounter, mirroring a "reflection in action" culture (Schön 1983) with monitoring, evaluation and strategies afforded by metacognition.

In secondary care, metacognition has been highlighted in educational interventions including diagnostic reasoning (Croskerry 2003) and communication (Falcone et al. 2014). One American study used metacognition to teach cognitive error reduction in simulation (Bond et al. 2004): while this study demonstrated that metacognitive strategies can be taught, the participant's acknowledgement varied according to their experience, with increased awareness of cognitive forcing strategies by senior clinicians, and more clinically focused assertions expressed by junior participants.

Metacognition as a future target for healthcare education

The literature demonstrates an interest and willingness to adopt metacognition into Medical Education. The range of contexts in which it has been applied demonstrates the flexibility of the theory, but clear guidance on implementation of metacognitive strategies in the clinical environment is lacking. Sport psychology may offer practical advice to educationalists wishing to implement metacognitive techniques into clinical teaching.

Performance Enhancing Routines For Optimizing Readiness using Metacognition

As the literature has failed to offer solutions to the challenges faced by junior doctors when managing acutely unwell patients in the complex clinical environment, new initiatives must be generated. Our novel conceptual model, PERFORM, transforms PPRs from sport psychology into performance enhancing routines (PERs) using the regulatory processes of metacognition, which has already attracted much interest in both sport (Brick et al. 2015) and medicine



Figure 2. Conceptual PERFORM model.

for performance optimization. This model will become the foundation of an intervention aiming to optimize junior doctors' management of acutely unwell patients.

The PERFORM model (Figure 2) illustrates the regulation of PERs using the metacognitive facets described by Efklides (2008). Figure 3 demonstrates the contextual model where the task (central circle) is surrounded by environmental pressures (arrows) within the complex clinical environment (graduated gray background).

The first step in the PERFORM model is the acknowledgement a **metacognitive feeling**; an affective, non-analytical instinct which can be positive or negative (Efklides 2008). Positive feelings include confidence, familiarity or "feeling of knowing," indicating that the individual considers the task achievable. Negative metacognitive feelings include "feelings of difficulty," which should invoke **metacognitive judgments** to explain *why* such feelings are present: these might include anxiety due to unfamiliarity, under-confidence resulting from previous failed attempts or decreased focus secondary to distractions. Once identified, a strategy (PER) can be chosen to help reduce the source of performance dysfunction. To select the most appropriate PER, the individual delves into their **metacognitive knowledge**, containing information regarding previous tasks and strategies (including PER). Once selected, the PER is implemented and evaluated for efficacy using their **metacognitive skills**.

If the PER is unsuccessful, this information is fed back into the metacognitive knowledge bank to inform and refine future strategy selection. Simultaneously, access to the metacognitive knowledge also allows an alternative PER to be selected for the current task. This cycle continues until a positive outcome, evaluated through metacognitive skills, is reached. The positive PER experience is fed into the metacognitive knowledge bank for future reference, and the individual returns to the entry point of the model, to reestablish the monitoring of metacognitive feelings for the remainder of the task.

The PERFORM model in action

Developing PERs for the PERFORM model (Figure 4) mirrors that of PPRs in sport (Cotterill 2011). According to sports coaches, training environments and strategies facilitate optimization of psychological readiness, or "mental toughness" (Gucciardi et al. 2009), and both are integral to the PERFORM model.

Demonstrate

Firstly, the subject is video-recorded while completing the task. This is a metacognitive experience and is used to demonstrate the individual's behaviors within the specific task; thus, the environment should be as authentic as possible (McGaghie et al. 2010).

Review

The participant and their coach review the video-recording to identify problematic emotions/behaviors within the



Figure 3. Contextual PERFORM model.



Figure 4. Developing a performance enhancing routine (PER) (arrows denote the direction of metacognitive processes).

Scenario 1 - A junior doctor does not use PER

A junior doctor is approached by one of the nurses on the ward, who asks her to gain intravenous access on a patient. This patient is awaiting an urgent CT scan and requires a cannula to enable the radiographers to administer contrast. The patient cannot attend the radiology suite until they have a cannula in place, and the porters are already on the ward, waiting to take the patient for his scan. The junior doctor feels a sense of dread at this task; having had multiple failed attempts at cannulation on a different patient earlier in the day. She also remembers that the cannula must be of a wide-gauge, which is more difficult to insert than smaller-gauge cannula, to enable intra-venous contrast to be administered. The doctor looks around the ward to see if any of the other doctors on her team are available to help her, hopeful that she can avoid the task altogether. Unfortunately for her, they are not immediately available, and negative thoughts of failing the cannulation, wasting the time of the nurse and porters, and the patient missing the scan and potentially delaying necessary surgery, begin to taunt her. She feels pressured, under-confident and has low self-efficacy of achieving this important task, which will have direct consequences on patient care.

This scenario will likely feel very familiar to many junior doctors.

Scenario 2 – A junior doctor uses PER.

On a different ward, a junior doctor receives a request from one of the nurses to gain intravenous access on a patient awaiting an urgent contrast-CT scan. The doctor sees the porters approaching the ward and realises that she must insert a cannula efficiently to avoid the patient missing their scan. Earlier in the day, the junior doctor had been unsuccessful in cannulating a different patient, and had needed senior help. Briefly, she is reminded of this failure, and recognises the negative thoughts clouding her concentration. As she makes her way to the equipment cupboard to gather the necessary items for cannulation, she uses her PPR of taking a slow, deep breath whilst reciting an instructional self-talk to recall the steps involved in this task; "If I follow the key steps then everything will go to plan". Whilst doing this, she is not only able to gather all necessary equipment without forgetting anything, but also distracts herself from the feelings of low self-efficacy and anxiety that were entering her mind before. Focussed on the task, she enters the patient's side-room without the distractions of previous failed attempts, but approaches the task by talking through the steps in her head.

The junior doctor here still feels the pressure of the situation due to the sense of urgency regarding the patient attending their scan, but she is able to better manage her self-efficacy beliefs and block-out negative thoughts and free-up attentional focus for the task at hand.

Figure 5. Illustrative examples of use of PER in clinical scenarios.

performance. The individual drives this process, focusing on and exploring their metacognitive feelings; non-analytical, highly affective pieces of feedback highlighting discrepancies between the task progress and the expected outcome (Efklides 2011). Deconstruction of these metacognitive feelings is facilitated by the coach to increase awareness of any contributing factors, such as:

- The use of negative thoughts/self-talk
- Distractions/lack of focus on the task
- Symptoms of anxiety
- Lack of confidence or self-efficacy

Construct

The coach provides examples of the different PERs which best address the issues identified in the review phase. Commonly used PERs in sport psychology include:

- Positive self-talk including trigger words (Moran (2004) in Cotterill (2011))
- Visualization (De Francesco and Burke (1997) in Gallucci (2014))
- Deep breathing (Gallucci 2014, p. 271)
- Temporal consistency techniques, e.g. 5-second countdown (Mesagno and Mullane-Grant 2010)
- Centering (Nideffer and Sharpe (1993) in Gallucci (2014))

Alternatively, the individual might offer their own strategy, which should be encouraged. Once agreed, the PER is put into practice immediately with a repeated task of similar difficulty to the initial one. This "trial run" marks the PER's initial integration into the individual's metacognitive knowledge bank.

Refine

Practicing the PER both optimizes its physical mechanism and refines decision-making skills regarding *when* to implement it. Each individual will undertake a unique refinement cycle, which will vary in length and conclude in the PER being perfected and eventually, automatic. Thus, the metacognitive strategy (PER) is embedded into the individual's subconscious stream, undetectably optimizing their performance within more contexts than solely the original task.

PERFORM: Readiness for practice

Psychological "readiness" peaks during the competition stage of the training cycle. This infers that readiness is sub-optimal *before* the start of the competition phase and is enhanced during competition. Thus, the PERFORM model introduces the metacognitive processes which contribute to psychological readiness, but these skills must be honed through real-life experiences (Figure 5).

Summary

This collaborative AMEE Guide introduced the PERFORM model, where performance enhancing routines (PERs) can be utilized by sport coaches and medical educators alike: we discussed the similarities between medicine and sport, and their respective interests in metacognition. A summary of PPRs in sports then led to our conceptual model. The reader is encouraged to use PERFORM for their own educational endeavors, in the hope that this novel collaborative approach successfully optimizes performance in whichever context it is applied to.

Disclosure statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

Notes on contributors

Helen R. Church, MBChB MRCA, is a PhD student in Medical Education at The University of Sheffield and an Anaesthetics & Critical Care Doctor at Chesterfield Royal Hospital, UK. Her multi-centre interventional study based on the PERFORM model aims to optimize Foundation Doctors' management of acutely unwell patients.

James L. Rumbold, PhD, is a Senior Lecturer in Sport and Performance Psychology at Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom. He regularly consults with elite athletes and professional sport organizations on facilitating effective performance environments. His research focuses on applying psychological routines aimed at optimizing well-being for individuals and groups who operate in pressurized occupational contexts.

John Sandars, MSc MD MRCP MRCGP FAcadMEd, is Professor of Medical Education in the Postgraduate Medical Institute at Edge Hill University and was previously Professor in Medical Education at the University of Sheffield. His research adopts a practical transdisciplinary approach to understand and improve academic and clinical performance, with insights from self-regulated learning and metacognition.

ORCID

Helen R. Church http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0455-9576
James L. Rumbold http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1914-1036
John Sandars http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3930-387X

References

Atkinson K, Ajjawi R, Cooling N. 2011. Promoting clinical reasoning in general practice trainees: role of the clinical teacher. Clin Teach. 8:176–180.

Bandura A. 1994. Self-efficacy. In: Ramachaudran V, editor. Encyclopedia of human behavior. New York (NY): Academic Press; p. 71–81.

Beilock SL, Carr TH, Macmahon C, Starkes JL. 2002. When paying attention becomes counterproductive: impact of divided versus skill-focused attention on novice and experienced performance of sensorimotor skills. J Exp Psychol Appl. 8:6.

Bion JF, Heffner JE. 2004. Challenges in the care of the acutely ill. Lancet. 363:970–977.

Bond WF, Deitrick LM, Arnold DC, Kostenbader M, Barr GC, Kimmel SR, Worrilow CC. 2004. Using simulation to instruct emergency medicine residents in cognitive forcing strategies. Acad Med. 79:438–446.

Boutcher S. 1992. Attention and athletic performance: an integrated approach. In: Horn T, editor. Advances in sport psychology. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; p. 251–266.

Brick N, Macintyre T, Campbell M. 2015. Metacognitive processes in the self-regulation of performance in elite endurance runners. Psychol Sport Exerc. 19:1–9.

Brown AL. 1987. Metacognition, executive control, self-regulation, and other more mysterious mechanisms. In: Weinert F, Rh K, editor. Metacognition, motivation, and understanding. Hillsdale (NJ): Erlbaum; p. 65–116.

Buck A. 2016. Aviation vs Emergency Medicine: CRM Smackdown. Resus Room Management. [accessed 2016 Dec 12]. http://resus-room.mx/aviation-vs-emergency-medicine-crm-smackdown/

Church H, Sandars J, Murdoch-Eaton D. 2016. Current teaching interventions targeting the acutely unwell adult: a scoping review of the international literature. Unpublished: University of Sheffield.

Cleary TJ, Dong T, Artino JR. 2015. Examining shifts in medical students' microanalytic motivation beliefs and regulatory processes during a diagnostic reasoning task. Adv in Health Sci Educ. 20:611–626.

Cotterill S. 2010. Pre- performance routines in sport: current understanding and future directions. Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol. 3:132–153.

Cotterill S. 2015. Preparing for performance: strategies adopted across performance domains. Sport Psychol. 29:158–170.

Cotterill ST. 2011. Experiences of developing pre-performance routines with elite cricket players. J Sport Psychol Action. 2:81–91.

Crews DJ, Boutcher SH. 1986. Effects of structured preshot behaviors on beginning golf performance. Percept Mot Skills. 62:291–294.

Croskerry P. 2003. Cognitive forcing strategies in clinical decisionmaking. Ann Emerg Med. 41:110–120.

Cullinane M, Findlay G, Hargraves C, Lucas S. 2005. An acute problem. London: NCEPOD.

Cutler LR. 2002. From ward-based critical care to educational curriculum 2: a focussed ethnographic case study. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 18:280–291.

de Francesco C, Burke KL. 1997. Performance enhancement strategies used in a professional tennis tournament. Int J Sport Psychol. 28:185–195.

Efklides A. 2006. Metacognition and affect: what can metacognitive experiences tell us about the learning process? Educ Res Rev. 1:3–14.

Efklides A. 2008. Metacognition defining its facets and levels of functioning in relation to self-regulation and co-regulation. Eur Psychol. 13:277–287.

Efklides A. 2011. Interactions of metacognition with motivation and affect in self-regulated learning: the MASRL model. Educ Psychol. 46:6–25.

Falcone JL, Claxton RN, Marshall GT. 2014. Communication skills training in surgical residency: a needs assessment and metacognition analysis of a difficult conversation objective structured clinical examination. J Surg Educ. 71:309–315.

Firth-Cozens J, Greenhalgh J. 1997. Doctors' perceptions of the links between stress and lowered clinical care. Soc Sci Med. 44:1017–1022.

Flavell JH. 1979. Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: a new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. Am Psychol. 34:906–911.

Ford H, Cleland J, Thomas I. 2017. Simulated ward round: reducing costs, not outcomes. Clin Teach. 14:49–54.

Gallucci NT. 2014. Sport psychology: performance enhancement, performance inhibition, individuals, and teams. 2nd ed. New York (NY); Hove, East Sussex: Psychology Press.

George G, Jell C, Todd BS. 2006. Effect of population ageing on emergency department speed and efficiency: a historical perspective from a district general hospital in the UK. Emerg Med J. 23:379.

Goldacre MJ, Lambert TW, Svirko E. 2014. Foundation doctors' views on whether their medical school prepared them well for work: UK graduates of 2008 and 2009. Postgrad Med J. 90:63.
Gucciardi D, Gordon S, Dimmock J, Mallett C. 2009. Understanding the coach's role in the development of mental toughness: perspectives of elite Australian football coaches. J Sports Sci. 27:1483–1496.
Hall K, Schneider B, Abercrombie S, Gravel J, Hoekzema G, Kozakowski S, Mazzone M, Shaffer T, Wieschhaus M. 2011. Hitting the ground running: medical student preparedness for residency training. Ann Fam Med. 9:375.
Hanton S, Mellalieu SD, Hall R. 2004. Self-confidence and anxiety interpretation: a qualitative investigation. Psychol Sport Exerc. 5:477–495.
Hazell J, Cotterill ST, Hill DM. 2014. An exploration of pre- performance routines, self-efficacy, anxiety and performance in semi-professional soccer. Eur J Sport Sci. 14:603–610.
Jackson RC, Baker JS. 2001. Routines, rituals, and rugby: case study of a world class goal kicker. Sport Psychol. 15:48–65.
Jordet G. 2009. When superstars flop: public status and choking under pressure in international soccer penalty shootouts. J Appl Sport Psychol. 21:125–130.
Kaufman DM. 2003. Applying educational theory in practice. BMJ. 326:213.
Kjeldstadli K, Tyssen R, Finset A, Hem E, Gude T, Gronvold NT, Ekeberg O, Vaglum P. 2006. Life satisfaction and resilience in medical school–a six-year longitudinal, nationwide and comparative study. BMC Med Educ. 6:48.
Kushnir T, Cohen AH, Kitai E. 2000. Continuing medical education and primary physicians' job stress, burnout and dissatisfaction. Med Educ. 34:430–436.
Li SYW, Magrabi F, Coiera E. 2012. A systematic review of the psychological literature on interruption and its patient safety implications. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 19:6–12.
Macintyre TE, Igou ER, Moran AP, Campbell MJ, Matthews J. 2014. Metacognition and action: a new pathway to understanding social and cognitive aspects of expertise in sport. Front Psychol. 5:220–221.
Marlow C, Bull SJ, Heath B, Shambrook CJ. 1998. The use of a single case design to investigate the effect of a pre-performance routine on the water polo penalty shot. J Sci Med Sport. 1:143–155.
Massey D, Aitken LM, Chaboyer W. 2009. What factors influence suboptimal ward care in the acutely ill ward patient? Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 25:169–180.
Mcgaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Petrusa ER, Scalese RJ. 2010. A critical review of simulation-based medical education research: 2003–2009. Med Educ. 44:50–63.
Mesagno C, Mullane-Grant T. 2010. A comparison of different pre-performance routines as possible choking interventions. J Appl Sport Psychol. 22:343–360.
Moorthy K, Munz Y, Dosis A, Bann S, Darzi A. 2003. The effect of stress-inducing conditions on the performance of a laparoscopic task. Surg Endosc. 17:1481–1484.
Moran A. 2004. Sport and exercise psychology: a critical introduction. Hove, East Sussex: Routledge.
Moran AP. 1996. The psychology of concentration in sport performers: a cognitive analysis. Hove, East Sussex: Psychology Press.
Moran AP. 2000. Improving sporting abilities: training concentration skills. In: Hartley J, editor. The applied psychologist. 2nd ed. Buckingham: Open University Press.
Nideffer RM, Sharpe RC. 1993. Attention control training. In: Singer R, Murphy M, Lk T, editors. Handbook of research in sport psychology. New York (NY): Macmillan.
Nietfeld J. 2003. An examination of metacognitive strategy use and monitoring skills by competitive middle distance runners. J Appl Sport Psychol. 15:307–320.
NPSA. 2007. Recognising and responding appropriately to early signs of deterioration in hospitalised patients. London: National Patient Safety Agency.
Ochsmann EB, Zier U, Drexler H, Schmid K. 2011. Well prepared for work? Junior doctors' self-assessment after medical education. BMC Med Educ. 11:99.
Quirke S, Coombs M, Mceldowney R. 2011. Suboptimal care of the acutely unwell ward patient: a concept analysis. J Adv Nurs. 67:1834.
Randell R. 2003. Medicine and aviation: a review of the comparison. Methods Arch. 42:433–436.
Rudman A, Gustavsson P, Hultell D. 2014. A prospective study of nurses' intentions to leave the profession during their first five years of practice in Sweden. Int J Nurs Stud. 51:612–624.
Sadri G, Robertson IT. 1993. Self-efficacy and work-related behaviour: a review and meta-analysis. Appl Psychol. 42:139–152.
Schön DA. 1983. The reflective practitioner: how professionals think in action. New York (NY): Basic Books.
Singer RN. 1988. Strategies and metastrategies in learning and performing self-paced athletic skills. Sport Psychol. 2:49–68.
Smith MD, Birch JD, Renshaw M, Ottewill M. 2013. Qualitative analysis of factors leading to clinical incidents. Int J Health Care Qual Assur. 26:536–548.
Suresh P, Matthews A, Coyne I. 2013. Stress and stressors in the clinical environment: a comparative study of fourth-year student nurses and newly qualified general nurses in Ireland. J Clin Nurs. 22:770–779.
Tallentire VR, Smith SE, Skinner J, Cameron HS. 2011. Understanding the behaviour of newly qualified doctors in acute care contexts. Med Educ. 45:995–1005.
Thomas I, Nicol L, Regan L, Cleland J, Maliepaard D, Clark L, Walker K, Duncan J. 2015. Driven to distraction: a prospective controlled study of a simulated ward round experience to improve patient safety teaching for medical students. BMJ Qual Saf. 24:154–161.
Toff NJ. 2010. Human factors in anaesthesia: lessons from aviation. Br J Anaesth. 105:21.
Weigl M, Müller A, Zupanc A, Glaser J, Angerer P. 2011. Hospital doctors' workflow interruptions and activities: an observation study. BMJ Qual Saf. 20:491–497.